BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH ILP

Case 2:12-cv-01685-APG-VCF Document 25 Filed 03/21/14 Page 2 of 3 Fase 2:12-cv-01685-APG-VCF Document 24-1 Filed 03/21/14 Page 3 of 4

(hereinafter "CitiMortgage") answered on November 26, 2012, after a stipulation to extend time to answer.

On October 23, 2013, CitiMortgage filed its Motion for Summary Judgment. The Plaintiff did not file an opposition to CitiMortgage's motion.

On March 7, 2014, after reviewing the motion on the merits, and finding the motion meritorious, the Court, issued a minute order granting CitiMortgage's Motion for Summary Judgment. The Court further found grounds to grant CitiMortgage's Motion for Summary Judgment pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), as the Plaintiff did not file an opposition to the motion.

Accordingly, the Court having considered the motion on the merits, hereby finds:

FINDINGS

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that because, Plaintiff never brought his account current in accord with the language of HOPA, the automatic termination provision of HOPA was never triggered, and Plaintiff was never entitled to termination of his PMI.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiff has no cause of action under the National Mortgage Settlement Agreement because it is a consent judgment between the states and mortgage servicers, providing no private cause of action to individual borrowers who are only incidental beneficiaries. This Court, in agreement with, *Rehbein v CitiMortgage*, *Inc.*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 49104 (E.D.Va. 2013), finds the language of the consent judgment did not intend individual borrows to be able to sue to protect the benefits the consent judgment confers.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that even if Plaintiff did have a private right of action, jurisdiction for enforcement of the National Mortgage Settlement Agreement lies solely with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiff is not entitled to any other loan modification or remedy based on his own admission that he had no agreements with CitiMortgage to change the terms of the note or deed of trust at any point in time.

26 || / / /

///

|*||||*

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITHLEP

4813-0671-4393.1

	Case 2:12-cv-01685-APG-VCF Document 25 Filed 03/21/14 Page 3 of 3 Case 2:12-cv-01685-APG-VCF Document 24-1 Filed 03/21/14 Page 4 of 4
1	ORDER
2	Based upon the foregoing findings, and good cause appearing, the Court Orders as follows:
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant
4	Citimortgage, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED.
5	IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff's
6	Complaint is DISMISSED, with prejudice.
7	
8	DATED this 2 / St day of March , 2014.
9	
10	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11	DISTRICT COOK! JOBGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Respectfully submitted by:
18	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
19	
20	D., /-/
21	By/s/ MARC S. CWIK, ESQ.
22	Nevada Bar No. 06946 ADAM J. PERNSTEINER, ESQ.
23	Nevada Bar No. 07862 REBEKKAH B. BODOFF, ESQ.
24	Nevada Bar No. 12703 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
25	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
26	
27	
28	
	4813-0671-4393,1

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATICHES AT LAW